



**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF AGGRESSION BEHAVIOUR BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL BOYS AND GIRLS OF HARYANA**

**Dr. Mrs Vadagbalkar S. S.**  
Incharge – Principal  
Head, Department Of Marathi

**ABSTRACT:**

*Forceful conduct is an exceptionally entangled conduct with an assortment of multidimensional causes. In past, social variables were for the most part the focal point of consideration for the analysts as reasons for hostility in people. In any case, with later logical and innovative headways, scientists are presently attempting to investigate new zones, including natural elements. The reason for the present investigation was to discover the examination of forceful Behavior among government and private senior optional school young men and young ladies of Haryana. The example of the Present investigation was led on aggregate 100 understudies 50 (young men and young ladies) of government senior optional school and 50 (young men and young ladies) of non-public schools of Haryana. The age went between 15-18 years. Just Aggression conduct was utilized to gauge ed by Dr. G.C. Pati Aggression test and utilizing measurably broke down "t' test technique.*

**Keywords:** *Animosity conduct, Government school, Private schools, Haryana*

**INTRODUCTION:**

In brain research, the term animosity alludes to a scope of practices that can result in both physical and mental mischief to oneself, other or questions in the earth. The declaration of hostility can happen in various ways, including verbally, rationally and physically. Hostility has different implications; we will characterize human animosity as conduct whose purpose is the physical or mental damage or someone else. Animosity as mental wonder originates from purposeful goal of hurting someone else. It is an activity, which is unmistakable in clear conduct. It is, thusly, obvious that hostility is comprised of three components. These are aim, activity propensity and genuine mischief focused on someone else (Berkowitz 1981). Animosity alludes to any conduct that is antagonistic, ruinous, as well as vicious. By and large, forceful conduct can possibly dispense damage or harm to the objective individual or protest. Instances of forceful conduct incorporate physical ambush, tossing objects, property obliteration, self-hurting practices, and verbal dangers. Hostility can be either physical or verbal, and conduct is named animosity regardless of whether it doesn't really prevail with regards to causing damage



or agony. Hostility isn't indistinguishable thing from emphaticness. Animosity is a confounding wonder. Animosity is seen as a type of conduct, not as a feeling, an intention, or a mentality. The term hostility regularly has been connected to negative feelings, for example, outrage, to thought processes, for example, the craving to hurt or harm others, and even to negative mentalities, for example, racial or ethnic partiality. While these variables positively assume a vital job in the event of conduct that causes hurt, their essence is definitely not an essential condition for the execution of such activities. Either is it fundamental that animosity despise or even aversion the people they assault. Numerous individuals cause hurt upon people toward whom they hold constructive as opposed to pessimistic dispositions. In perspective of the way that negative feelings, intentions, and mentalities could possibly go with direct strikes against others, we will limit the utilization of the term hostility to unmistakable damage doing conduct and consider different factors independently.

### OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

Similar investigation of hostility conduct among government and private senior optional school young men and young ladies of Haryana.

### METHODOLOGY

To accomplish the destinations of the present examination add up to 100 understudies 50 young men and young ladies from government senior auxiliary schools and 50 young men and young ladies from private senior optional school of Haryana. Just Rohtak area of Haryana was chosen for the investigation. The investigation was delimited to government and private senior auxiliary school young men and young ladies of Rohtak locale of Haryana. The age ran between 15 to 18 years. Just Aggression conduct was utilized to quantify ed by Dr. G.C. Pati Aggression test and utilizing factually examined "t' test strategy.

### CONCLUSION

It is clear that private senior optional school young men and young ladies were high forceful conduct than government senior auxiliary school young men and young ladies of Haryana.

### REFERENCE

1. The World Health Report 2001. Mental Health:New Understanding, New Hope. Geneva, World Health Organization;: 2001. pp. 39–44.
2. Robert E R, Attkinson C, Rosenblatt A. Prevalence of psychopathology among children and adolescents. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998;155(6):715–724. [PubMed]
3. Jenson PS, Watanabe HK, Richters JE, Cortes R, Roper M, Liu S. Prevalence of mental disorder in military children and adolescents: finding from a two stage community survey. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1995;34:1514–1524. [PubMed]
4. Mishra A, Sharma AK. A clinico-social study of psychiatric disorders in 12-18 years school going girls in urban Delhi. *Indian J Community Med*. 2001;26(2):71–75.