



"AN ANALYTICAL EVALUATION OF CAPITAL DISBURSEMENT AND FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE IN SELECT PUBLIC SECTOR ENTERPRISES IN INDIA"

Aditya P. Sangavi S/o Parameshwar
Research Scholar

Dr. Priya Singh
Guide
Professor, Chaudhary Charansing University Meerut.

ABSTRACT -

This study presents an analytical assessment of capital disbursement practices and financial governance in selected Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) in India. Given the critical role that PSEs play in driving infrastructure development and economic progress, the effective management of capital investments is essential for ensuring the responsible use of public resources. Employing a mixed-method approach, the research integrates quantitative analysis with qualitative insights gathered from structured questionnaires, interviews, and secondary sources.



The findings highlight a contrasting landscape: while formal procedures for capital budgeting, fund allocation, and financial oversight are in place, their implementation is often hindered by governance deficiencies, institutional limitations, and inconsistent practices. Larger and more autonomous entities—such as Maharatna PSUs—tend to exhibit stronger financial planning, monitoring, and control systems. In contrast, smaller PSUs frequently struggle with delays, cost overruns, and insufficient risk management, largely due to bureaucratic inefficiencies and inadequate capacity.

The study further observes that the adoption of modern financial tools, including the Public Financial Management System (PFMS), remains limited and uneven across organizations. These findings underscore the need for a more standardized and integrated financial governance framework, enhanced institutional accountability, and targeted capacity-building initiatives. The research concludes with strategic recommendations aimed at improving transparency, operational efficiency, and the long-term effectiveness of capital disbursement practices in India's public sector.

KEYWORDS - Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs), Capital Disbursement, Financial Management Practices, Capital Budgeting, Financial Governance, Risk Management, Public Financial Management System (PFMS), Infrastructure Investment, Audit and Oversight Mechanisms.

INTRODUCTION -

Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) in India have historically played a pivotal role in advancing the nation's economic development, particularly in capital-intensive sectors such as energy, transportation, heavy industry, and infrastructure. These enterprises are responsible for managing substantial capital investments that are critical to achieving national growth objectives and delivering essential public services. Given the scale and strategic importance of these investments, robust financial management

and effective governance in capital disbursement are vital for ensuring value for money and maintaining public trust.

Capital disbursement involves the allocation, release, and utilization of funds for capital expenditures such as infrastructure development, technological upgrades, and asset procurement. Within PSEs, these processes are ideally governed by structured frameworks for planning, budgeting, appraisal, and monitoring. However, various government audit reports, scholarly assessments, and policy analyses have consistently highlighted inefficiencies in these systems—including project delays, cost overruns, weak oversight, and misalignment between capital investment and organizational strategy.

In response to these challenges, the Indian government has introduced several reform measures aimed at improving financial governance, including the Public Financial Management System (PFMS), performance-linked evaluations, and mechanisms to enhance institutional accountability. Despite these efforts, empirical evidence remains limited regarding the effectiveness of these reforms in transforming capital disbursement practices across the public sector.

This study seeks to fill this knowledge gap through a comprehensive analytical evaluation of financial management and governance practices related to capital disbursement in selected Indian PSEs. By examining both the policy environment and ground-level implementation across various categories of enterprises—such as Maharatna, Navratna, and Miniratna PSUs—the research aims to identify patterns of operational efficiency, uncover systemic issues, and propose actionable recommendations for strengthening institutional financial governance in India's public sector.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:

Aim: The primary objective of this study is to conduct an analytical evaluation of the financial management practices and governance frameworks related to capital disbursement in selected Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) in India. The study aims to assess the effectiveness, efficiency, and transparency of these practices in ensuring the optimal allocation and utilization of public funds for capital investments.

Objectives:

- To investigate the existing policies, procedures, and institutional frameworks that govern capital disbursement in selected Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs).
- To evaluate the effectiveness of financial planning, capital budgeting, and fund allocation systems implemented by these enterprises.
- To assess how capital expenditures are utilized and monitored, with a focus on uncovering inefficiencies, delays, and cost overruns.
- To examine the robustness and operational effectiveness of internal control mechanisms, audit systems, and risk management practices.
- To analyze the level of adoption and the practical impact of financial governance reforms, including the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) and performance-based evaluation models.
- To identify successful practices in capital disbursement and formulate strategic recommendations aimed at enhancing financial governance and accountability in India's public sector.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Capital disbursement and financial governance in India's Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) have drawn increasing attention from scholars and policymakers, especially in light of the country's evolving public financial management landscape. Existing literature highlights both the advancements made and the persistent challenges in capital investment planning, execution, and oversight within these enterprises.

Capital Budgeting and Planning in PSUs

Premchand (2000) provides a foundational analysis of India's fiscal framework, emphasizing the critical need for aligning capital budgeting with developmental priorities and enforcing fiscal discipline. He advocates for the modernization of traditional budgeting tools to enhance responsiveness to economic changes. Narayan and Mishra (2011) reinforce this view, noting that many PSUs still rely on outdated project appraisal techniques, such as payback periods, while neglecting more comprehensive financial tools like Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR), which hinders accurate investment evaluation.

Governance and Political Influence

Rao and Singh (2006) argue that capital disbursement in PSEs is often subject to political and bureaucratic interference, which compromises financial objectivity and leads to inefficient investments and underutilized assets. Kumar and Raghavan (2013) further highlight operational inefficiencies such as cost overruns and time delays, linking them to weak project governance, poor coordination among departments, and ineffective risk mitigation strategies.

Audit and Monitoring Mechanisms

Audit findings from the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India consistently point to deficiencies in procurement practices, deviations from approved budgets, and lackluster implementation of internal audit recommendations. Chakraborty (2018) critiques the absence of systematic post-project evaluations in PSUs, which limits institutional learning and undermines the ability to improve future investment planning.

Reforms and Modernization Initiatives

In recent years, literature has begun to document a shift toward modernization and reform in public financial management. The World Bank (2017) recommends the widespread adoption of integrated systems such as the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) to enhance transparency and efficiency. Mehta (2021) observes that while PFMS has improved visibility in fund flow within central PSUs, its effectiveness varies considerably due to disparities in technical capacity and institutional readiness.

Performance Evaluation and Strategic Alignment

Bandyopadhyay and Bhattacharya (2016) explore budgeting practices in Navratna PSUs, noting progress in strategic alignment and the use of decision-support systems. However, Goel and Pathania (2012) identify a persistent disconnect between strategic objectives and actual capital allocation, underscoring the need for improved integration between corporate planning and investment decisions.

Identified Gaps in Literature

Despite growing academic interest, significant gaps remain. There is limited empirical analysis comparing financial governance practices across different categories of PSUs—namely Maharatna, Navratna, and Miniratna enterprises. Moreover, the actual impact of reforms such as PFMS and performance-linked mechanisms like Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) on capital disbursement efficiency has not been thoroughly investigated. This study seeks to bridge these gaps by offering a comparative and evidence-based assessment of financial governance in Indian PSEs.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This study employs a mixed-method research design to provide a comprehensive evaluation of capital disbursement practices and financial governance in selected Indian Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs). The combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches ensures a balanced analysis of policy frameworks, operational practices, and organizational outcomes.

1. Research Design

The study is both exploratory and descriptive in nature. It seeks to explore current financial management practices, describe procedural and institutional frameworks, and identify gaps and inefficiencies in capital disbursement mechanisms across PSEs.

2. Sampling Technique

A purposive sampling strategy was adopted to select a representative sample of PSEs based on the following criteria:

Classification: Inclusion of Maharatna, Navratna, and Miniratna enterprises

Sectoral Diversity: Coverage of key capital-intensive sectors such as energy, infrastructure, manufacturing, and transportation

Relevance: Focus on enterprises engaged in large-scale, long-term capital investments

This approach ensures coverage across varying levels of financial autonomy, industry characteristics, and operational complexity.

3. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data:

Structured questionnaires were administered to finance officers, internal auditors, and project managers to gather quantitative information on budgeting, fund allocation, monitoring, and governance practices.

Semi-structured interviews with key personnel provided qualitative insights into institutional challenges, decision-making processes, and internal control systems.

Secondary Data:

Sourced from annual reports of selected PSEs, audit findings from the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India, Public Enterprises Survey (PES) reports, Ministry of Finance and Department of Public Enterprises publications, as well as academic research and policy documents.

4. Data Analysis Tools

Quantitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics (such as means, percentages, and standard deviations) to identify trends in capital budgeting, expenditure patterns, and efficiency metrics.

Comparative analysis was employed to highlight differences in financial governance across Maharatna, Navratna, and Miniratna categories.

Qualitative data from interviews was subjected to thematic analysis, allowing the identification of recurring patterns, institutional bottlenecks, and examples of best practices.

5. Validity and Reliability

All research instruments were pilot-tested to refine the structure and ensure relevance and clarity. Data triangulation across primary and secondary sources was employed to enhance the validity of findings.

Cross-verification of primary responses with publicly available financial data and audit reports strengthened the reliability of the conclusions drawn.

6. Ethical Considerations

The confidentiality and anonymity of all participants were strictly upheld.

Informed consent was obtained prior to participation in surveys and interviews.

All data collected was used solely for academic and research purposes in accordance with ethical research standards.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) in India play a vital role in driving economic development through large-scale infrastructure and industrial investments. Effective financial management—particularly in capital disbursement—is essential to ensure the judicious use of public funds. However, recurring issues such as cost overruns, project delays, weak financial appraisal systems, and inadequate internal controls continue to hinder efficiency in many PSEs, as consistently noted in audit reports, policy reviews, and academic research.

While tools like the Public Financial Management System (PFMS), performance-linked evaluations, and internal audit reforms have been introduced to enhance governance, their implementation remains uneven. Variations in financial autonomy and oversight—especially across Maharatna, Navratna, and Miniratna enterprises—further contribute to disparities in capital budgeting and financial accountability.

Political influence, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and limited use of advanced financial evaluation techniques exacerbate capital mismanagement, leading to underutilized assets and suboptimal investment outcomes. These systemic challenges not only waste valuable public resources but also undermine the financial sustainability and strategic impact of PSEs.

In light of these issues, a systematic evaluation is required to identify root causes, assess existing governance practices, and propose strategic reforms aimed at improving transparency, accountability, and efficiency in capital disbursement within India's public sector enterprises.

DISCUSSION:

The findings of this study highlight a complex and uneven landscape of capital disbursement and financial governance across India's Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs). Despite the introduction of significant reforms in recent years, notable disparities persist—particularly in the consistency and effectiveness of financial management practices among different categories of enterprises.

Larger enterprises, especially those holding Maharatna and Navratna status, exhibit a relatively higher degree of financial maturity. These organizations are more likely to employ formal capital budgeting techniques such as Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), and risk-adjusted return metrics. Their comparatively autonomous governance frameworks and access to skilled financial professionals contribute to more robust project appraisal and investment decision-making processes. However, challenges continue to surface even within these leading entities, particularly in areas such as real-time expenditure monitoring, cost containment, and the systematic conduct of post-implementation audits.

In contrast, Miniratna and smaller Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) often fall short in adopting structured financial evaluation frameworks. Many of these entities continue to rely on simplistic or outdated appraisal methods, which result in suboptimal assessments of project viability and long-term returns. Bureaucratic inertia and rigid approval hierarchies further impede timely fund disbursement and efficient project execution. These systemic constraints frequently lead to cost overruns and project delays, undermining the broader objectives of capital investment initiatives.

The study also reveals that although internal control systems and audit frameworks are institutionally established, their operational effectiveness is inconsistent across enterprises. In numerous instances, internal audits are primarily compliance-focused rather than oriented toward performance evaluation, thereby limiting their capacity to proactively identify inefficiencies or irregularities. Moreover, external audits—particularly those conducted by the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India—continue to expose deficiencies in procurement processes, misallocation of funds, and weak oversight in the management of capital expenditure.

A further noteworthy finding is the inconsistent adoption and limited impact of digital financial management tools, notably the Public Financial Management System (PFMS). While PFMS holds significant promise for enhancing transparency and enabling real-time tracking of capital flows, its implementation remains patchy, particularly among lower-tier PSEs. Factors such as resistance to

institutional change, inadequate digital infrastructure, and insufficient training hinder the effective utilization of such platforms.

Governance emerges as a pivotal factor in determining the effectiveness of financial management in Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs). Enterprises that exhibit strong leadership, clearly defined accountability structures, and active independent oversight tend to manage capital disbursements more efficiently and with greater transparency. Conversely, persistent issues such as political interference and bureaucratic inertia continue to compromise the objectivity and quality of investment decisions in several PSEs.

The study also identifies a significant gap in the institutionalization of feedback mechanisms, particularly post-project evaluations. The absence of systematic review processes hampers organizational learning and the refinement of financial practices. Without these mechanisms, recurring inefficiencies remain unresolved, limiting the potential for long-term performance improvements.

Overall, the findings point to the urgent need for a more holistic and strategic framework for capital disbursement and financial governance within PSEs. This approach should integrate policy reform, technological innovation, workforce capacity enhancement, and robust oversight mechanisms. Narrowing the gap between policy design and on-ground implementation will be essential to ensuring that public investments deliver meaningful and sustainable economic and social outcomes.

CONCLUSION:

This study offers a comprehensive analysis of the financial management practices and governance structures surrounding capital disbursement in selected Public Sector Enterprises (PSEs) in India. The findings reveal a contrasting landscape: while formal systems for capital allocation, budgeting, and oversight are in place, their practical execution often falls short, marked by inconsistencies, weak accountability, and uneven implementation across different categories of enterprises.

Larger and more autonomous entities, such as Maharatna and Navratna PSEs, have demonstrated relative progress in adopting structured financial evaluation techniques and establishing internal controls. However, many smaller and less autonomous PSEs continue to grapple with fundamental challenges, including deficient planning processes, ineffective risk management, and oversight shortcomings. These deficiencies manifest in frequent cost overruns, project delays, and underutilized capital—challenges that persist despite regulatory reforms.

Although tools such as the Public Financial Management System (PFMS) and performance-linked evaluation mechanisms hold significant promise, their potential remains underrealized due to institutional inertia, inconsistent adoption, and resistance to change. Governance constraints—particularly bureaucratic delays and political interference—further hinder the objective and efficient allocation of capital.

To enhance financial governance, it is imperative to adopt standardized project appraisal frameworks, real-time expenditure tracking systems, and more rigorous auditing protocols. Equally important are reforms aimed at building institutional capacity, empowering PSEs with greater operational autonomy, and fostering a culture of continuous learning through structured post-project evaluations.

In conclusion, while India's PSEs are central to national development objectives, their effectiveness in managing capital disbursement hinges on closing the gap between policy design and operational execution. A sustained, integrated approach—anchored in transparency, efficiency, and innovation—is essential for ensuring that public investments deliver optimal socio-economic returns.

REFERENCES:

1. Bandyopadhyay, S., & Bhattacharya, A. (2016). Financial Planning and Capital Budgeting in Indian Public Sector Enterprises: Trends and Challenges. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*,
2. Chakraborty, P. (2018). Use of Financial Appraisal Techniques in Public Sector Investments: A Critical Review. *Journal of Finance and Accounting Research*,

3. Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG). (Various Years). Audit Reports on Public Sector Enterprises. Government of India. <https://cag.gov.in>
4. Goel, R. K., & Pathania, M. (2012). Capital Budgeting and Strategic Investment Decision-Making in Indian PSUs. *International Journal of Management Studies*
5. Kumar, S., & Raghavan, V. (2013). Cost Overruns and Delay in Public Sector Projects in India: An Analysis. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development*,
6. Mehta, V. (2021). Evaluating the Implementation of Public Financial Management System (PFMS) in India. National Institute of Public Finance and Policy (NIPFP) Working Paper Series.
7. Ministry of Heavy Industries & Public Enterprises. (2020). Public Enterprises Survey 2019-20. Government of India.
8. Narayan, R., & Mishra, D. (2011). Capital Budgeting Practices in Indian Public Sector Undertakings. *Journal of Applied Finance and Accounting*,
9. Premchand, A. (2000). *Control of Public Expenditure in India: Financial Administration*. New Delhi: Allied Publishers.
10. Rao, M. G., & Singh, N. (2006). *The Political Economy of India's Fiscal Federalism*. Brookings Institution Press.