Indian Streams Research Journal
Vol -1 , ISSUE –2, March – 2011
ISSN:-2230-7850Available online at www.lbp.world

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

SPIN DISTRIBUTION OF A NEW TECHNIQUE FOR HEAVY ION FUSION

Rahul Kumar[1] and Dr. Md. Anwar Ali[2]
[1]Reseach Scholar , Jai Prakash University, Chapra.
[2]Lecturer,Dept. of Physics , Ram Jaipal College,Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

SUMMARY:

The method for optical version research of summed up versatile dispersing specific conveyance (GESA) on large particle dissipating has been implemented to get aggregate flip appropriation. This strategy is applied to replicate the couple channel combination turn conveyance. On the point when carried out to check statistics, particularly on physical frameworks, as an example, 160 + 232th, this approach gives a big rectangular turn an incentive in concurrence with the 'conflicting' values received from trial fracture volume anisotropies.

CREATION:

The research of low-energy weighty particle responses has created a whole lot of fervour as of late due to the wealthy interchange within the factors of responses and the sub-atomic shape of the participating cores. Flexible, neutral, switch and fusion reactions, consisting of measurements on a range of factors within the colliding nucleus, show that those procedures are interrelated and that an try is presencefinished to progress a notionalprototypical that could take into account all of them. A mutual characteristic of absolutely these portrayals is to observe the importance of pairs among exclusive channels by means of optical fashions or by explicitly linked networkstechnique. These couplings bring about huge multi-segment commitments to special response diverts notwithstanding the instantaneous unmarried-level profusions normally assessed with the aid of DAWBA, barrier interplay models, optical fashions, and so on. Masses of estimates are made with out doing. This gives upsurge to thoughtfuldifferencesamong approximate theories additionally, trial estimations. In the mixture response, numerous models forget about to clarify the aggregate fractional wave go-vicinity in spite of the reality that they have got a whole aggregate cross-segment. As a consequence, to test any hypothesis of extensive particle responses, it is likewise essential to realize the reaction instrument for each incomplete wave and its commitment to the special reaction channels. In this case, it is pretty suitable to experimentally measure the fractionaltendency crosssegment for different reaction channels.

The simplest of the measurements, adaptablesmattering, is analyzed to collect a pass phase, and if it is measured efficiently, it may be ensured that every one feasible reaction channels make a contribution to the cross segment of the whole response. If an angular distribution is available for some non-bendy network, one could explainsa by way of adding a rigidly flexible rangysupply. Osler et. Al. Confirmed that for hefty ion smashes, the low response pass segment obtained through fitting GESA and its partial wave distribution is dependablethrough the entireresponse pass section of the residualnetworks no longer linked to the normalized elastic duct. In heavy ion smattering, elastic ducts are frequently contributed by low-level colomb stimuli, and the question of whether or not coulomb

stimulation gives steady price of decreasing the sum of useless states and elastic scattering (GESA) become emphasized. The response go-section because the fluidity passes via the rest of the channel. It has additionally been pointed out that one need to use the optical version outfitted in GESM to get the precise opportunity for coupled channel calculation. This is in stark comparison to the truth that the rigid elastic dispersion can once in a while be hard to healthy due to long-range coulomb incitement. We have applied this strategy to get a fractional wave go-location for the aggregate channel by fitting GESA. The aggregate incomplete wave go-area received in this way has all of the influences of channel coupling. Anyway one needs rakish appropriation of all non-mixture reaction channels. Within the mixture response, particularly for fissile specializes in, the on the spot estimation of the mixture 1/2-wave move-section relies upon on 7-beam growth estimations

METHOD:

Total scattered amplitude including phase shift δ_i and δ_j given by atom and coulomb potential…

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2ik}\sum(2l+1)\left[e^{2i\delta i}\left(e^{2i\delta i}-1\right)\right]P_1(x)$$

$$= fc(x) + f_n(x)$$

Visualproposition applying to the fullness f(x), which shown as follows…

$$(4\pi/k)\mathrm{Im}[f_n(x=1)] = \sigma_R + 2\pi \int[\sigma_{el}(x) - \sigma_{Ruth}(x)]dx,$$

Where total flexibility and Rutherford cross-sections are given

$$\sigma_{el} = 2\pi\int|f(x)|^2 \; and \; \sigma_{Ruth} = 2\pi\int|f_{c0}(x)|^2 dx$$

Within the attendance of durable coulomb fields, such as solid ion smattering, the involvement of the left-hand side to the whole response is an awful lot much less than that of cross-sectional σ_R, and if it's miles set to zero, it's miles probably to cross inscribe the complete reply, phase as a difference among rutherford except flexible gaunt distributions if the combination border is reserved efficaciously

$$\sigma_R = \lim_{t-0} 2\pi\int_t^x [\sigma_{Ruth}(\theta) - \sigma_{el}(\theta)]Sin\theta d\theta$$

The above comparability legitimizes the usage of summed up flexible dissipating to get a low reaction cross place. Allow (V, W) there be a number of authentic and non-existent optical opportunities so as to match a rigidly bendy scattered and $\sigma_R$relating reaction cross-area. For straightforwardness, we anticipated that there are simply reaction channels; synthesis and neutral, then one$\sigma_R$will be the sum of σ_f and σ_{intel}.GESA can at present be gotten through computation this flimsy rakish movement to an inflexibly adaptable channel. Go away v and w on my own some other arrangement of optical possibilities that suit into GESM. The conforming low response is given by way of pass-segment $\sigma_R$by way of

$$\sigma_R = 2\pi\int\{\sigma_{Ruth}(\theta) - \sigma_{GES}(\theta)\}Sin\theta d\theta,$$

$$= 2\pi \int \{\sigma_{Ruth}(\theta) - [\sigma_{el}(\theta) + \sigma_{inel}(\theta)]\} Sin\theta d\theta,$$

The lower rejoinder crosssegment will σ_R'nowadays be fewer than andσ_R used. It can be shown.

$$\sigma_R - \sigma_R' = 2\pi \int \sigma_{inel}(\theta) sin\theta d\theta = \sigma_{inel}$$

Therefore, we can identify σ_R'one as the corresponding optical potential of the fusion cross section and *(V', W')*fusion. In a humblecondition, wherever we only have flexible and unstable pointed distribution, we explain the GESA as $d\sigma_{el}/d\Omega + d\sigma_{inel}/d\Omega$. By correct the ocularclassical to the GESA, we are annoying to treasure a fraction of the scattered magnitude,*f1*.

$$\left| f_c(x) + \sum_i f_l'^{el} p_1(x) \right|^2 = \left| f_c(x) + \sum_i f_l^{el} p_1(x) \right|^2 + \sum_m \left| \sum_{ll} f_{ll\prime m} p_{l\prime m}(x) \right|^2$$

The f_l' The values derived from this method are related to pseudo-optical probability as described exceeding.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

This approach has been applied to the trial particular conveyances of the O^{18} + Pb^{212} machine at 110 MeV and the O^{18}+Th^{136}, device for which wholecapacities are to be had on bendy, neutral and allocationnetworks. For those systems the <L^2>values which are experimentally determined through the angular distribution of the deflection turn out to be in large part inconsistent. CC controls for synthesis also predict unambiguous values of <L^2>values, indicating the significance of a better-order coupling system vulnerable to fusion.

Because of giant errors in the trial data, within the case ofO^{18} + Pb^{208}gadget at 90 + mV, before the use of the 2 methods of producing GESA, the risky and transferalpointeddisseminations are slowed by using the polynomial appropriate. Initially, the complete volatile pointeddissemination is auxiliary to the flexiblerecords and then the risky and transfer angular distributions are added to both the elastic statistics. The optical model reduced the reaction / distribution related to those cases. The distinction of l-distribution and l -distribution for the full response of case-1 may be determined for distribution for unstable channels also, dissemination can be obtained as a difference of appropriation movement for case-2 and / case-1.

CONCLUSION:

It's been found out that the GESA approach can be used to achieve fusion spin distribution from tentative, flexible and non-flexiblepointed distribution. The legitimacy of this technique has been confirmed for couplings in the sight of temperamental just as communicated channels. Oncefunctional to a slippery system, this method offers a large square spin according to the covenant with the constrained "inconsistent" values. Further, the research shows that combination opponents the cycle of move of all fractional waves with low electricity, while at excessive electricity, the exchange indicates quandary in l-space.These results prototypes are loose when you consider that an man or woman uses just take a look at particular dispersions for legitimate response networks.

REFERENCES:

1. Schwandt P. (1988),'SNOOPY Computer program by', Indiana University, Cyclotron centre report, pp. 12-19.
2. Hagino K. and Yao J.M. (2010), 'Present Status of Coupled Channels Calculations for Heavy Ion Subbarrier Fusion Reaction', EPJ Web of Conference, 117, 08003, pp. 1-10.
3. Sastry S.V.S., Mohanty A.K. and Kataria S.K. (1995), 'A new approach for heavy ion fusion spin distribution', Pramana Journal of Physics, Vol-44, No-2, pp. 153-166
4. Back B.B., Esbensen, Jiang C.L. and Rehm K.E. (2010), 'Recent Development in Heavy-Ion Fusion Reactions', Reviews of Modern Physics, Vol-86, Jan-March-2009, pp. 317-360.
5. Sahu B. Shastry C.S. (1996), 'Cross section, spin distribution and mean spin analysis of low-energy heavy-ion fusion by closed formulae', Journal of Physics, 22 (1996), pp. 1483-1495.