

Article :SME MARKETING IN PRACTICE

Author : Dr. V.S. Mangnale[Ph.D. (Economics), MPM, CCJ, M.A (Eco),Director, Institute of Business Management, Kamalapur, Solapur, India] Ms. J.V. Chavan[Ph.D.(reg.), M.Phil, M.Com (Adv Costing)Research Scholar, Solapur University, Solapur]

Mr. A.D. Randive[NET with JRF, MBA (Mktg & Finance), BBA, MCVC,Research Scholar, Solapur University, Solapur]

ABSTRACT:

Acknowledges that SMEs (small to medium-

sized enterprises) cannot do conventional m a r k e t i n g because of the limitations o f resources which are inherent to all SMEs and also because SME owner/managers behave and think differently from conventional marketing decision-

making practices in large companies. In this context the discussion focuses on SM E characteristics and how these impact upon marketingcharacteristics within SMEs . In a search for ``alternative'' marketing approaches, the inherent existence of th e owner/ manager's ``network'' in its various guises such as personal contact netw orks, social networks, business networks and industry and marketing networksand how these networks are used is considered. Some evidence from an empirical st udy carried out simultaneously in Westerns

Maharashtra is presented which illustrates how and why networking is used by S ME owner/managers as a tool or approach for carrying out meaningful marketing.

KEYWORDS:

Marketing decision making, Marketing strategy, Networking, Qualitative techniques, Small-to-medium-sized enterprises

INTRODUCTION:

This paper advocates that networking is an inherent tool of marketing which is wholly compatible with SME decisionmaking characteristics inrelation to marketing activities. After a brief overview of relevant SME marketing and networking literature, the research study aimed atu nderstanding how SME owner/managers use networking in their marketing activit ies. The paper concludes by advocating that networking is amarketing ``competen ce'' and as such can be developed as a way of doing marketing for SMEs, that is, marketing by networking.

SMES' LIMITATIONS AND MARKETING CHARACTERISTICS:

It is well documented that SMEs have unique characteristics that differentiate the m from conventional marketing in large organisations (e.g.Carson, 1990). These characteristics may be determined by the inherent characteristics and behaviours of the entrepreneur or owner/manager; and they may be determined by the inheren t size and stage of development of the enterprise. Such limitations can be summar ised as: limitedresources (such as finance, time, marketing knowledge); lack of sp ecialist expertise (owner-managers tend to

be generalists rather thanspecialists); and limited impact in the marketplace. In a ddition, SME marketing is haphazard and informal because of the way an owner -

manager does business; they make most decisions on their own, respond to curr ent opportunities and circumstances and so decision

makingoccurs in a haphazard and apparently chaotic way, according to personal and business priorities at any given point in time (Scase and Goffee, 1980). Clearl y such limitations will influence, indeed determine, the marketing characteristics of an SME. SMEs do not conform to the conventional marketing characteristics of marketing textbook theories; instead their marketing is characterised by the limita tions outlined above. Thus, SME marketing is likely to be haphazard, informal, lo ose, unstructured, spontaneous, reactive, built upon and conforming to industry no rms.

NETWORKING IN SME'S:

For SMEs networking can mean using a variety of networks. The inherent existe nce of the owner/manager ``networks'' is built around theirnormal interactions an d activities such as personal contact networks (Knoke and Kuklinski, 1982), socia l networks (Starr and MacMillan, 1990), business networks (Donckels and Lambr

echt, 1997), industry (Andersson and Soderlund, 1988) and marketing networks (Piercy and Cravens,1995). Clearly it is important to understand how ownermanagers use these networks. Curran and Blackburn (1994) found that ownermanagers tend to have relatively small and non-

expensive networks with little resort to expected external contacts such as accoun tants andbank managers. While these networks were used deliberately to solve pr oblems, the formation and development of the networks were largelyunstructured and coincidental in nature.

Networking for business activities is about`` companies joining together with a common objective, working together, and co-

operating" through the exchange and sharing of ideas, knowledge and technology (Dean *et al.*, 1997). Such networking can occur through trade events, personal co ntacts, in liaison with marketing intermediaries and as part of the SME owner ma nagers' social endeavours. The characteristics of SME networking are therefore str iking in their similarity to SME marketing characteristics. SME networking tends to be informal, loose, unstructured, spontaneous, reactive, structured around and c onforming to industry norms.

MARKETING BY NETWORKING:

In bringing together the deliberations on SME marketing and definitions of netw orks and networking this debate focuses on the notion of `marketing by networki ng''. Networking is a naturally inherent aspect of SME owner-

manager decision making, particularly those decisionsrelating to marketing. This is because owner/managers must go outside the businesses' physical confines in o rder to do business and thisbusiness is marketing-

led activity. Thus, SME owner/managers are doing marketing through their natura l and inherent networking activity,through all their normal communication activiti es, such as interacting and participating in social, business and trade activities. So me of thecharacteristics of "marketing by networking" are that it is based around people-

orientated activities, it is informal, often discreet, interactive, interchangeable, inte grated, habitual, and can either be passive or proactive.

Marketing by networking is done through

personal contact networks (PCNs), carried out with people with whom the ownermanager has had arelationship \pm either in the past or currently. The way in whic h marketing by networking is carried out is often pre-

determined by industrybehaviours and norms through regular or irregular meeting occasions and industry activities or in just doing business. The frequency and foc usmay vary depending on the nature of the markets

in which the company operates; for example, international networks may be mor e focused than domestic networks because of the need to plan ahead, whereas con tact with domestic markets may be more frequent than within ternational markets because of convenience.

For SME owner-

managers, the costs of networking are implicitly hidden because any explicit cost s

or expenses are low in the immediate term.For example, the cost of networking w ill include minor expenses such as a club or trade membership, the cost of dinner at trade functions, orthe cost of entrance fees to exhibitions. Therefore owner-

managers do not consciously need to consider the cost of this ``intangible'', diffic ultto access and measure aspect of their marketing activity as opposed to the mo re tangible, easier to measure aspects of conventionalmarketing activity. This inh erently fits comfortably with SME owner- managers' way of doing business.

Networking occurs as

a natural and inherent entrepreneurial activity. An SME owner-

manager's personal contact network will be represented bypeople who can help the entrepreneur in arriving at decisions for the wellbeing of the enterprise. The SME owner-

manager/entrepreneur will be focal point of this network \pm although it may not always seem so in practice. The network will change according to current circum stances, one of the most documented examples being that of a ``start-

up" network compared with a network belonging to an established entrepreneuro f some years. Also, networks will change according to need; for example, new pe ople may

be enlisted into a network when some new area ofreal or potential interest become s paramount. Some individuals may serve the entrepreneur's network as regular a dvisers, for example, close friends, family, the company accountant or bank man ager, others may simply form part of an ongoing and inadvertent informationgatheringprocess. The entrepreneur may not necessarily appear to be the focal per son but rather someone who is part of a broader social gathering ofperipheral acti

vity.

In addition to being

a natural activity, networking can be an acquired skill or competency for the SM E owner/manager. That is,owner/managers can develop networking skills or comp etencies over time; they can learn from experience who or where to network and h ow todo it effectively. Sometimes entrepreneurs will consciously seek out inform ation from certain

individuals believed to have a contribution tomake; on other occasions information will be gleaned subconsciously. Some illustrations of marketing by networking will be provided from acollaborative study of Australian and Irish SMEs which focuses on how SMEs use networking in doing business with competitors and customers.

METHODOLOGY:

This research study sought an understanding of how SME owner-

managers do marketing. Large firm models applied to small firm settings havebee n criticized, and small firm researchers are thus urged to conduct studies that are s ensitive to the unique characteristics of small firms.Given that the study of small firms is a relatively new branch of social science research (Churchill *et al.*, 1986;

Brockhaus, 1987; Bygrave,1989) and the relative lack of understanding of small firms, it is argued that emphasis should be placed on empirical research of aphen omenological nature. This points to a qualitative approach where the aim is to exp lain rather than predict phenomena (Leavy, 1994) and tounderstand things rather t han measure them (Gordon and Langmaid, 1988). Furthermore qualitative metho ds are considered to be particularlysuitable for gaining an understanding of decisi on making in small and medium-sized firms (Carson *et al.*, 1998).

Qualitative research offers a variety of methods. Based on the specific aims of thi s research, in-

depth interviewing was deemed to be the mostappropriate means for collecting the relevant data. In-depth interviews are thought to be one

of the best methods to investigate an individual'sbehavior or attitudes (Tull and H awkins,1990); and semi-

structured or unstructured interviews have been found to be particularly effective forcollecting data from owner-

managers of small enterprises (Curran and Blackburn, 1994).

FINDINGS: how SME owners/managers use networks for marketing

The study focused on SME owners and managers who operate in business market s in Western

Maharashtra. The participating companiesoperated in a wide array of industries in cluding engineering, textiles and food. These SME employed between ten and 2 50 employees (thus inkeeping with the European Commission definition of SMEs).

A second criterion for firm selection was that the firm had been in operation for more than five years. This criterion was included so as toeliminate those firms w hich were experiencing growth volatility that is normally associated with the start-up phase, especially in the first fouryears of trading (Storey and Johnston, 1986; Storey, 1989).

Within these criteria, a total of 25 SME owner-

managers were selected. The data were collected by means of indepth interviews. These weretape-

recorded with the informants' prior consent. The owner/ managers were told that the purpose of the research was to gain anunderstanding of how SME owner/man agers ``do business'' rather than focusing on their definitions and descriptions of networking. This was acrucial factor in the study as it avoided a scenario whereby the informants would provide answers that they felt the interviewer expected. Th einterviews were semi-structured insofar as the interviewers

had a checklist of areas to cover, rather than a list of pre-

determined questions. Assuch, the interviewers gave the informant the fullest opp ortunity to relay stories and events which they deemed relevant.

The findings in relation to interfaces of networking activities, networking with co mpetitors and networking with customers, are described andillustrated below.

Networking with competitors

The empirical study highlighted that there is considerably more communication b etween the SME owner/manager and his/her competitors than is widely reported in the literature. Owner/managers may communicate with competing firms and ofte n are quite supportive of each other.Indeed, many owner/managers claimed to kn

ow their competitors personally, and would have no hesitation in contacting them for help oradvice.

A high level of co-

operation seemed to exist between some competitors. The reason for such collab oration in many cases was

to prevent theclient taking the work to a company outside the domestic market, " It would have to go outside of (local region), that's the difficulty". Inother cases one company might engage in a joint arrangement with a competitor if a particula r project is too large for either to complete ontheir own, or if one company requir es new resources or skills.

Many SME owner-

managers recognise the advantage of maintaining good relations with competitors for the overall good. In speaking of thebenefits of such bodies, most would claim that they inform of general developments within the industry, which includ es what other firms aredoing and how buoyant their business is relative to their o wn. Trade associations act as a means for the manager to become known to his pe erswithin the industry. It's not a confrontational group. We all meet professionally and are reasonably supportive of one another. Whilst wecompete on service and on price, we don't disagree with each other, we don't bad-

mouth one another. That's how I get to know the partners in the other firms; I wou ld know them socially and professionally.

Also SME owner-managers will be reluctant to

expend their personal resources in the pursuit of something which will eventually benefit theentire industry. So while competitor collaboration does take place, it is balanced with caution when it is felt that the benefits enjoyed by eachparty are pr oportionate to their respective

inputs. Managers will guard against speaking too freely about certain things, esp ecially any plansthey might have for substantial changes to the firm or its directio n. However, in doing all of this, SME owner/managers rely heavily on using their networks and their networking skills for all aspects of marketing in the context o f competitor activity.

Networking with customers

SME owner-

managers often make a concerted effort to include customers and potential custo mers in their networking. In this study, thecustomers in most cases were firms, as opposed to consumers. The concept of building relations with another company is more complex thanbuilding relations with individuals. In discussing this with t he managers in the study, it became apparent that, when they claim to have arelationship with a client company, they are actually referring to their relati onship with a few key figures in that company. More importantly, should that pers on or persons with whom the manager has contact leave the company, very often t he relationship with the company dissolves.

SME owner-managers recognise that they are perceived to

be the point of contact by their clients/customers. They make themselves availabl eto

speak to these people if requested, even when a member of staff could quite adequ ately handle the enquiry. These findings illustrate thevariety of elements that will impact on how the SME does marketing by networking with customers. It is imp ortant to recognise the influencethat personality, age and experience of the two c ommunicating parties will have on the networking relationship. The nature of ma nager-

customer networking and how it is done will either contribute to or spoil the integ rative way SMEs do marketing. That is, the creation and existence of effective net working will be concerned with maximising marketing opportunities and ensurin g the enterprise's survival and development through successful interactions with cu stomers and competitors.

MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Marketing by networking will be enhanced and improved with the advent of exp erience. This is often manifested by owner/managers usingtheir networking abiliti es. Owner/ managers will use their strengths to overcome their inherent weakne sses, learn from mistakes and assesswhat went wrong in order to avoid such mist akes in the future, learn from successes and assess all of the circumstances that c ontribute tosuccess. As the composition of the SME owner/ manager's network m oves between personal and business relationships, the nature of decisionmaking also changes. Where a manager depends mainly on social networks, decisions are likely to be based on incomplete information and willrely more on intuition. As th e owner/manager gathers more business contacts and networking becomes more strategic, his decision making willbecome more rigorous. Much of this networkin g development can be attributed to increased experiential knowledge in that, havi ng madecertain decisions before in the past, the manager will have learned from p revious mistakes and will approach the task in a more structured way.

REFERENCES:

1. Andersson, P. and Soderlund, M. (1988), "The network approach to marketin g", *Irish Marketing Review*, Vol. 1, pp. 63-8.

2. Brockhaus, R.H. Sr (1987), "Entrepreneurial research: are we playing the cor rect game?", *American Journal of Small Business*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 43-9.

3. Bygrave, W.D. (1989), "The entrepreneurship paradigm (I): a philosophical 1 ook at its research methodologies", *Entrepreneurship Theoryand Practice*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 7-26.

4. Carson, D. (1990), "Some exploratory models for assessing small firms' mark eting performance", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 24, p. 11.

5. Curran, J. and Blackburn, R. (1994), Small Firms

6. *and Local Economic Networks*, PCP, London. Dean, J., Holmes, S. and Smit h (1997), "Understanding business networks: evidence from the manufacturing a nd service sectors in Australia", *Journal of Small Business Management*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 78-84.

7. Donckels, R. and Lambrecht, J. (1997), "The

8. network position of small businesses: an exploratory model", *Journal of Smal l Business Management*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 13-25.

9. Gordon, W. and Langmaid, R. (1988), Qualitative

10. *Market Research: A Practitioner's and Buyer's*

11. *Guide*, Gower, Aldershot.

12. Knoke, D. and Kuklinksi, H.H. (1982), *Network Analysis*, Sage, Beve rly Hills, CA.

13. Piercy, N.F. and Cravens, D.W. (1995), "The network paradigm and the marketing organisation. Developing a new managementagenda", *European J* ournal of Marketing, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 7-34.

14. Scase, R. and Goffee, R. (1980), *The Real World of the Business O wner*, Croom Helm, London.

15. Starr, J.A. and MacMillan, I.C. (1990), "Resource

16. cooptation via social contracting: resource acquisition strategies for n ew ventures", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 11, Summer, pp. 79-92.

17. Storey, D.J. (1989), "Firm performance and size: explanations from t he small firm sector", *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 1 No.3, pp. 175-80.

18. Storey, D.J. and Johnson, S. (1986), *Are Small Firms the Answer to Unemployment?*, The Employment Institute, London.

19. Tull, D.S. and Hawkins, D.I. (1990), *Marketing Research, Measure ment, and Method*, Macmillan, New York, NY.