

# INDIAN STREAMS RESEARCH JOURNAL

## AN ACCOUNT OF TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE NORTH-EAST



**Zehirul Islam**

Principal, Navasakti College, Majgaon, District:  
Barpeta, Assam

### Abstract :

Since the post-World War II years when development became a focus of concern within the western social sciences literature, the concept itself and the perspectives in which it has been cached have undergone a series of dramatic changes. Initially the concept was interchangeably used with 'growth' today, today, the two are clearly distinguished. Growth has more limited connotations and is generally delineated by such quantifiable indices as GNP or per capita income. Development on the other hand implies a kind of structure change in all aspects of society. They mean certain core processes of change whereby a society or part of it is transformed to the economic sphere from subsistence production to mass production from predominance of primary sector to secondary and tertiary sector from use of animal and human power to inanimate power in production, distribution, transport and communication. In the social sphere it entails a process of high social differentiation and specialisation with respect to institutional structure and individual activities where role recruitments are based on performance rather than on ascription. The researcher, thus, tries to make a discussion on the tribal population of the country with special reference to North-East India.

Key Words:- Tribal Population, Growth, Development, North-eastern States, India

## An Account Of Tribal Development In The North-east

### INTRODUCTION

The concept 'tribal development' itself and the perspectives in which it has been cached have undergone a series of dramatic changes. Initially, the concept was interchangeably used with 'growth'. Today, the two are clearly distinguished. Growth has more limited connotations and is generally delineated by such quantifiable indices as GNP or per capita income. Development on the other hand implies a kind of structure change in all aspects of society. They mean certain core processes of change whereby a society or part of is transformed to the economic sphere from subsistence production to mass production, from predominance of primary sector to secondary and tertiary sector, from use of animal and human power to inanimate power in production, distribution, transport and communication. In the social sphere, it entails a process of high social differentiation and specialisation with respect to institutional structure and individual activities where role recruitments are based on performance rather than on ascription. At the political level, it means diffusion of power to ever widening groups of society while being beneficiaries of the political process and the source of legitimacy of the sovereign authority. Culturally, it involves spread of literacy, secular education and complex of intellectual institutional system for cultivation and advancement of specialised skills, knowledge and outlook. Finally, at the intellectual level, it refers to faith in the rational explanation of physical and social phenomena.

Once of these core processes of change subsuming under the concept of development, scholars are generally agreed. But they are far from agreed on the nature and content of development. The popular view of development is in terms of progress towards a complex of welfare goals such as reduction of poverty, unemployment and diminution of inequality. However for a large number of scholars this is possible only through the absorption of traits, attributes and institution characteristic of the capitalist society. Indeed they view societies moulding socio-economic change long non-capitalist lines as not worthy of examination and analysis.

It may therefore be observed that there is nothing like development per se but development either along the capitalistic line – with private ownership of the means of production and production for profit and market as the main axis of the entire social structure of the developing society or the socialistic line with public ownership and production for assessed needs of the people as the main basis of the development activities.

### Objectives of the study:

1. To make a deep study of the development of tribal population of the North-East India.
2. To study the demography, political and social structures of tribal population from the various parts of the country.
3. To know the reason of discontentment of the tribal people.
4. To provide some recommendations, if applicable.

### Research methodology:

The research is basically a qualitative research based on secondary data collected from various books, journals, published articles and various websites. Explaining method has been adopted for the study.

### Northeast: The Regional Setting:

The northeast region comprises of seven states i.e., Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Nagaland, Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh and Mizoram. The region has an aggregate area of 255,037 sq. k.m. which is nearly 8 percent of the total geographical area of the country but its population of more than 16.61 million (1981 Census) account for only 3.88 per cent of the total population of India. Population growth in the northeast however has always been higher than the national averages ever since 1901. The northeast as a whole has a density of 104 persons per sq.km. which varies from as low as 7 per sq. k.m. in Arunachal Pradesh to as high as 253 in Assam.

Most of the area of the northeast earlier formed part of the composite state of Assam. The tribal population in Mizoram, Nagaland, Arunachal Pradesh and Meghalaya (1971 Census) being 98.10, 93.00, 89.12 and 83.07 per cent respectively. Their composition in Assam, Manipur and Tripura was 10.75, 31.93 and 31.63 per cent respectively. However, this tribal population is far from uniform in terms of their dialect, culture and customs. Historically the bulk of this region constituted separate autonomous territory of chieftainships.

They were annexed into British territory at different phases of the British rule beginning from the early part of the nineteenth century. With this there came about some extension of British administration in to the territories. Yet, the region remained by and large isolated within itself and from the rest of the country all through the British rule, mainly an account of lack of communication networks and the policy of inner line regulation adopted for the administration of tribal areas. In the post-independence phases of economic development the government's attitude towards the northeast was in no way different from that of the British colonists. The result was a simmering discontent among the people of particular. With this, the government's attention was naturally drawn to the problems of the northeast.

Today serious attempts are being made by the governments both at the centre and the states for the speedy

## An Account Of Tribal Development In The North-east

development of the region. Yet, the pace and direction of development is far from satisfactory and is causing grave concern among the scholars administrators and politicians. There is therefore a need to reflect upon the problems and perspectives of development – pursued in the north-eastern states and union territories.

### Political Ideas and Organisations of Central Indian Tribes:

The tribes of Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Orissa constitute the largest tribal belt of India. These tribes share certain traits of political organizations based on clan solidarity. The village headman assisted by a Council of Elders oversees the administration of the village. The decision of this Council is reached either through consensus or majority verdict and the headman has no power to overrule the majority verdict. The Oraons, Gonds and Bhils are three numerically most important tribes of this zone. The Oraons have developed Parha organization which is a confederacy of a number of neighbouring villages with a central organization known as the Parha Panch. Each Oraon Parha consists of a number of villages; one of the villages is called the Raha (king) village, another Dewan (prime minister) village, a third Panrey (clerk of crown) village, a fourth the Kotwal village and so on.

Such of the villages as have got no such denominations are known as Praja (subject) village. Raja village is the head village, of the Parha. Every village of the Parha has its own distinctive flag and other badges which may not be used by any other village. Maintenance of law and order and the settlement of disputes between villages is also the function of the Parha Council.

The lowest political authority among the Santhals and the Malers is the village headman called Manjhi. He and the village elders meet and talk over the villages affairs. The headman is entitled to certain gifts at weddings and other feasts and holds rent free land. The Manjhi is both civil and moral authority. In his civic functions he is assisted by a sub-headman, the Paranik. Among the Mundas the village headman is known by the same, i.e., Munda while his religious counterpart is called Pahan. About twelve villages constitute a Patti or Parna and the man who heads it is known as Manki who is usually the most influential of the village headmen forming a group. The Munda and the Pahan are hereditary offices.

The basis political unit of the Gonds is the village community. The village headman is called Patel, Mandal or Bhoi. He is assisted by some elders of the village in settling the village affairs. Though the king of Bastar, Hindu, does not command any political authority over the Gonds, yet he has been the centre of spiritual veneration of all the groups of the Gonds. An interesting aspect of political organisation among the Bison Horn Moria is that the priest responsible for religious rituals and ceremonies and the medicine-man are more influential than the village headman.

### Political Organisation of South Indian Tribes:

This tribal zone is very important in view of the fact that this is the abode of some of the economically and technologically most backward tribes of the world. Most of the tribes of this zone live in small groups dispersed in forests or on the outskirts of villages of the cultivators. Usually they live a life of their own and try to avoid conflicts with and interference from outsiders. They want to be left alone.

The tribes of Andaman and Nicobar Islands are still living in gathering-hunting stage of economic development.<sup>21</sup> though most of them are nomadic groups yet they roam about within a definite geographical zone. Every local group consists of 5-10 families and every group has its own headmen. These local groups live and act independently. However, during special hunting sprees or certain festivals they unite temporarily. The headmen of the local groups take care of the affairs of their respective local groups. Among some other nomadic tribes there is no such institution as a headman of a settlement. The heads of the single families simply come together and settle the affairs of the group whenever problems arise and decisions have to be taken.

Thus the Allays and Aranadars have no headman. Affairs of the community are discussed at a gathering of elders whose decision is binding. Those who disagree simply leave the group and join another one. Among the Kadars, another food gathering tribe, the hereditary institution of the headman has ceased to exist.

Among the Adiyars of Kerala, the office of the headman is hereditary. If a son is unsuitable for the office a nephew may inherit it. The headman has a special title but he is not an autocratic ruler, he only presides over the meetings of the elders at which affairs of the community are discussed. His sanction is required, however, for any important undertaking, a marriage or divorce and he presides at funeral ceremonies.

### Political Concept and Organisation of the North-Eastern Tribes:

This geographical zone consists of Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Mizoram, Manipur and Tripura. The Khasi, Garo, Lushai, Jaintia, Miso, Apatani and a variety of Naga tribes are the prominent tribal groups inhabiting this highly picturesque region of India.

The tribes of Assam maintain a democratic political organization. Most of these tribes recognize collective ownership of land giving scant respect to individual rights over land. People of one village are free to do cultivation

## An Account Of Tribal Development In The North-east

anywhere they like. Though economic status of different families in a village varies, yet this variation could not develop social stratification on any sharp lines.

While most of the tribes are divided exogamous clans, others live in village communities without clan system, each under its ruler independent of the rest of the villages. Among the Khasis, the dignity of a chief is inherited by the eldest son of the eldest sister, after his death by his eldest brother or by the maternal grand nephew. If male heirs are absent, the eldest sister or eldest sister's daughter has the right to succession. Politically, the Khasis, in the past, divided into twenty-five Khasi states which were independent. Though headed by a chief known as Siem, administration was on democratic lines. A Khasi chief had no power over land or forest. He could not impose taxes or promulgate new laws or any policy independently.

Similarly, though chieftain of Lushai tribe commands more dominance than the Khasi Siem, yet it is similarly difficult for him to ignore the opinion or advice of the seniors of the village. Though these offices are hereditary, the administration is run on thoroughly democratic lines. Amongst the tribes of North Cachar district, the principle of heredity and election go side by side. Tribal issues are resolved in the presence of all males of the village in an open court. Any decision taken with regard to an offender is deemed to be a collective decision of all the villagers.

The political organisation of the Garo tribe is also run on democratic lines. In fact, the Garos have no chiefs. A headman is only a nominal head while the important affairs are decided by village councils consisting of elders or heads of families. Stephen Fuchs (1973) describes the political organization of the Mikirs as having a three-fold village administration, the lowest office of which is filled by the Achom Asar, usually an old, influential man well versed in Mikir customs. He is appointed by a Habe, the next higher authority, the headman of a village whose jurisdiction does not go beyond the limits of the village. The political organisation of the Naga tribes is marked by great diversity. Some of the Naga tribes subject of chiefs who rule almost autocratically while other tribes form democratic village communities in which the headman has only a normal authority. Most of the Naga tribes have been labeled as blood thirsty,

Savage and war loving but no sweeping generalization is fair to describe the situation. Though it is correct that most of the Naga tribes have always been at war with each other and vendetta is the rule but this should be viewed in the socio-historical perspective. But even within these limitations and under such conditions political organization of flexible type has always existed.

The political organization of the Naga tribes has always been in need of security protection against supernatural and natural hazards. Haimendorf (1976) opines that the institution of the sacred chiefs who were believed to have leased in support of the supernatural though their birth in the right families and who could mobilize the coercive and productive powers of the society was an insurance against the hazards.

### Findings:

1. Tribal Development in the British Era was highly neglected and ignored. Measures taken by the government of independent India has also not been much satisfactory.
2. Ignorance has lead to long discontentment among the tribal population.
3. The natures of tribal population vary highly from one another and are unique.
4. All those unique tribe have some kind of common characteristics, say like, they live on a democratic line, they tend to have autonomous administration, and the economic status of the families does not highly vary from one another.

### Recommendations:

1. Tribal population must be developed through various facilities like education, health and hygiene factors and proper communication network with the centre.
2. The negative perception on the tribal population need to be changed.

### References:

1. R.S. Sharma, 1974, Material Conditions and Social Formations in Ancient India, Macmillan, P-260.
2. B. Janardhan Rao, 1987, Land Alienation in Tribal Areas, Kasp Publications, Warangal, India, P-89
3. Jaganath Pathy, 1976 Political Economy of Khandha Land, Man in India No. 56.
4. P. Sundaraiah 1975, Sec. for details, Telangana Anned Struggle, Its Lessons, Ganashakti Publications Calcutta.
5. D.N. Dhanagare, 1981, Social Origins of the Peasant Insurrection in Telandana in the Peasant Struggles in the Peasant struggles in India (Ed) AR. Pesai, Oxford University Press Bombay, P. 486.
6. Gribble 1956: History of the Deccan, Vol-II, P. 5 Osmania University Press.
7. Aiyappan, A 1936, Marriage by elopement among the Paniyans of wynad, 'Man in India 16, Pp. 29-30
8. Aiyappan, A 1955 "Tribes of South Western India," Adivasis. Delhi, Government of India.
9. Furer Haimendorf, 1954, "Hereditary friendship and inter-tribal relations between Todas and Mudugas.' Man 61, P-177.
10. Panikkar K.M. 1918 "Aspect of Nayar Life". J. Roy. Anth Inst, 48, P. 254

**An Account Of Tribal Development In The North-east**

11. Thurston, E 1909 "Castes and Tribes of Southern India, Madras Government Press, P-80.
12. Karve, I 1965 Kingship organization in India, Bombay, Asia Publishing House, P-125.
13. Cummins, H.C. Midlo 1961. Finger Prints Palms and Soles: An Introduction to Dermatoglyphics. New York Dover Publication. P-221.
14. Das, B.M., 1960s. Ethnic Affinities of the Rabha, Guahati: Department of Publication, University of Gauhati, P-135.
15. Das, B.M. 1968, ABO blood groups in the tribal populations of North-East India with special reference to the Khasi. The Anthropologist (Special Volume) P-92
16. Das, B.M., Das, P.B., Das, R. 1980, The Lalungs: Their Physical Features and Biosocial Profile (mimeographed). Department of Anthropology, Gauhati University, Assam, P-126.
17. Gait, E. 1905, History of Assam, London (3rd edition) Calcutta: Thackers spink and Co. 1963, P-310.
18. Haddon, A.C. 1924, Races of Man and their Distribution Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, P-95.
19. Padmanada, P. 1985, "demographic Trends of Tribals" in S. Roy, S, Basu and A. Jindal (ed.) Population Genetics and Health care Issues and Future Strategies. Technical Report 8, New Delhi, National Institute of Health and Family welfare, P-9
20. W.J. Allen, 1958. Report on the administration of cossyah and jyteah hill territory reprinted shilling 1903, PP. 42-43
21. J.B. Bhattacharjee, 1979, "The Messenger of Khasi Heritage: H. Roy (ed.) Khasi Heritage, P-5.